TOOL: GOAL BUILDER

Understanding Continuous Improvement: Goals, Objectives, Strategies and Activities

1: Proficiency

State your **Proficiency** Goal

Goal 1: By 2022 Ballard High School will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in math and science by 11% and 10.6 % respectively.

Which **Strategy** will the school/district use to address this goal? (The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver <u>Instruction</u>
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver
 Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the school/district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (*The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.*

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy -Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment - Continuous Improvement Activities

Objective	Strategy	Activities to deploy strategy	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring Date & Notes	Funding
Objective 1: Increase the number of students scoring Proficient or Distinguished in math from 42% to 45%	KCWP 1	Ensure formative assessment allow students to understand where they are going, where they currently are and how they can close the gap. Furthermore assessment evidence will inform what comes next for students or groups of students. This will be accomplished by PLC teams meeting to identify essential standards and design formative and summative assessments around each essential standard	Increase in math proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Sept-May	Projected Summer 2018 PLC Planning and Assessment Design \$5000
		Ensure collaboration and communication guides development of learning targets, planning and student grouping	Increase in math proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Sept-May	None
	KCWP 4	Assess with formative and summative assessments that are aligned to the standards of learning. Utilize data from assessments to implement data teaming methodologies.	Increase in math proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Learning Walk Data Sept-May	Jan-May \$500
		Use classroom assessment data to inform instructional practices and increase collaboration within the math department	Increase in math proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Learning Walk Data	None

Goal 1: By 2022 Ballard High School will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in math and science by 11% and 10.6% respectively. Sept-May KCWP 5 PLC Ensure that formative, interim, summative Increase in Jan-May assessment results, as well as universal screener Minutes \$500 math data, are used appropriately to determine tiered proficiency intervention needs. ratings Learning Walk Data Sept-May Implement and commit to purposeful, Increase in Learning None scheduled monitoring efforts in accordance with Walk Data math continuous improvement needs. proficiency ratings Sept-May Objective 2: Increase in PLC Projected KCWP 1 Ensure formative assessment allow students to Increase the Minutes Summer understand where they are going, where they science number of currently are and how they can close the gap. proficiency 2018 students Furthermore assessment evidence will inform ratings Sept-May what comes next for students or groups of **PLC** scoring Proficient or students Planning Distinguished and in science Assessment from 44.9% Design to 47.0% \$5000 PLC Ensure collaboration and communication guides Increase in None development of learning targets, planning and science Minutes student grouping proficiency ratings Sept-May KCWP 4 Assess with formative and summative Increase in PLC Jan-May Minutes assessments that are aligned to the standards of science \$500 learning. Utilize data from assessments to proficiency implement data teaming methodologies. ratings Learning Walk Data Sept-May PLC Increase in None Use classroom assessment data to inform Minutes instructional practices and increase science collaboration within the science department proficiency ratings Learning Walk Data Sept-May KCWP 5 PLC Ensure that formative, interm, summative Increase in Jan-May assessment results, as well as universal screener Minutes \$500 science data, are used appropriately to determine tiered proficiency intervention needs. ratings Learning Walk Data Sept-May Implement and commit to purposeful, scheduled Increase in Learning None monitoring efforts in accordance with science Walk Data continuous improvement needs. proficiency ratings Sept-May

Goal 2: By 2022 Ballard High School will increase the percentage of Gap students scoring proficient or distinguished in math and science by 14.4% and 13.8% respectively.

Which **Strategy** will the school/district use to address this goal? (The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver
 Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing <u>Learning Culture and</u> Environment

Which **Activities** will the school/district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards -Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction
 Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment
 <u>Literacy Continuous Improvement</u>

 Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply
 Data Continuous Improvement
 Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support - Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment - Continuous Improvement Activities

Objective	Strategy	Activities to deploy strategy	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring Date & Notes	Funding
Objective 1: Increase the number of Gap students scoring Proficient or Distinguished in math from 26.2% to 28.2%	KCWP 1 KCWP 4 KCWP 5	Ensure formative assessment allow students to understand where they are going, where they currently are and how they can close the gap. Furthermore assessment evidence will inform what comes next for students or groups of students Ensure collaboration and communication guides development of learning targets, planning and student grouping	Increase in math proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Sept-May	Projected Summer 2018 PLC Planning and Assessment Design \$5000
		Assess with formative and summative assessments that are aligned to the standards of learning. Utilize data from assessments to implement data teaming methodologies. Use classroom assessment data to inform instructional practices and increase collaboration within the science department	Increase in math proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Sept-May	None
		Ensure that formative, interm, summative assessment results, as well as universal	Increase in math	PLC Minutes	Jan-May \$500

Goal 2: By 2022 Ballard High School will increase the percentage of Gap students scoring proficient or
distinguished in math and science by 14.4% and 13.8% respectively.

Objective 2:	KCWP 1	screener data, are used appropriately to determine tiered intervention needs. Implement and commit to purposeful, scheduled monitoring efforts in accordance with continuous improvement needs. Ensure formative assessment allow	proficiency ratings Increase in	Sept-May Learning Walks	Projected
Increase the number of Gap students scoring Proficient or Distinguished in science from 28.5% to 31.3%	KCWP 4 KCWP 5	students to understand where they are going, where they currently are and how they can close the gap. Furthermore assessment evidence will inform what comes next for students or groups of students Ensure collaboration and communication guides development of learning targets, planning and student grouping	science proficiency ratings	Minutes Sept-May	PLC Planning and Assessment Design \$5000
		Assess with formative and summative assessments that are aligned to the standards of learning. Utilize data from assessments to implement data teaming methodologies. Use classroom assessment data to inform instructional practices and increase collaboration within the science department	Increase in science proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Sept-May	
		Ensure that formative, interm, summative assessment results, as well as universal screener data, are used appropriately to determine tiered intervention needs. Implement and commit to purposeful, scheduled monitoring efforts in accordance with continuous improvement needs.	Increase in science proficiency ratings	PLC Minutes Sept-May Learning Walks	

Goal 3: Increase current graduation rate from 92.1 % to 96% by 2022

Which **Strategy** will the school/district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver
 Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing <u>Learning Culture and</u> Environment

Which **Activities** will the school/district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment
 <u>Literacy Continuous Improvement</u>

 Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply
 Data Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support - Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment - Continuous Improvement Activities

Objective	Strategy	Activities to deploy strategy	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring Date & Notes	Funding
Objective 1: Increase the graduation rate from 92.1% to 92.7%	KCWP4	Utilize the Persistence to Graduation Tool/Early Warning Tool to assist in identifying students at risk for remediation, failure, and/or untimely graduation	Increase in course pass rates	Weekly Admin Meeting	
		Develop a clearly defined RTI process which includes frequent intervention and progress monitoring checks	Increase in course pass rates	PLC Meetings GCC Support of PLC's	
		Freshman Academy structure allows for frequent and regular intervention for struggling students	Reduction in 9th grade retention rate	Freshman Academy Meetings PLC Meetings	
Objective 2: Increase the graduation rate from 91.9% to xxx	KCWP4	Increase collaboration in data analysis and student progress towards standards mastery, including identification of students in need of intervention supports	Increase in course pass rates	PLC Meetings GCC Support of PLC's	

Goal 3: Increase c 4: Growth State your Growth Goal 4:		rate from 92.1 % to 96% by 2022				
Goal 4: N/A Which Strategy will the school/district use to address this goal? (The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.) • KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards • KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction • KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy • KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data • KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support • KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment		Which Activities will the school/district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity. • KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards - Continuous Improvement Activities • KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction - Continuous Improvement Activities • KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy - Continuous Improvement Activities • KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data - Continuous Improvement Activities • KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support - Continuous Improvement Activities • KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment - Continuous Improvement Activities	Identify the timeline for the activity or activities, the person(s) responsible for ensuring the fidelity of the activity or activities, and necessary funding to execute the activity or activities.			
Objective 1:	Strategy	Activities to deploy strategy	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring Date & Notes	Funding	
Objective 2:						

Goal 5: Increase in the percentage of 12th grade students meeting all three ACT benchmarks from 54% to 60%

Which **Strategy** will the school/district use to address this goal? (The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver
 Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the school/district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment
 Literacy Continuous Improvement
 Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply
 Data Continuous Improvement
 Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support - Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment - Continuous Improvement Activities

Objective	Strategy	Activities to deploy strategy	Measure of	Progress	Funding
			Success	Monitoring	
				Date & Notes	
Objective 1:	KCWP4	Develop a student tracking system for	All students	GCC will	
		monitoring	will be	update	
Increase the			entered into	tracking	
percentage of			the tracking	system after	
seniors meeting			system with	scores have	
the English			scores	been reported	
benchmark			separated by	for each	
from 66.7% to			English,	national ACT	
68.7, meeting			Reading,	date (Aug	
Math			and Math	2017 – June	
benchmark			and	2018)	
from 21.1% to			comparison		
23.1, and			to		
meeting			benchmark.		
Reading		Create and monitor a 12 th grade "watch	Watch lists	GCC coach	
benchmark		list" for students not meeting all three	will be	will create	
from 21.9% to		benchmarks by the end of their 11 th grade	created for	"watch list"	
23.9		year.	students still	using the	
			needing to	results of the	
			meet one	March 20,	
			benchmark,	2018 ACT	
			two		
			benchmarks,		
			and three		
			benchmark		

Objective 2: Increase the number of seniors meeting all three college readiness benchmarks from 56% to 60%	KCWP5	Align English and Math curriculum to ACT standards at the departmental and PLC levels	Students attending prep courses will improve scores in their identified growth area (English, Math, or Reading) Students will show growth on ACT practice assessments	GCC will track and compare scores (October 2017-October 2018) Alignment document submitted to GCC (August 2018); PLC data for ACT practice assessments provided to administration (December 2017 – October 2018)	ESS Funds \$18000

6: Other (optional)

State your *Other* **Goal** (optional)

Which **Strategy** will the school/district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver
 Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the school/district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment
 <u>Literacy Continuous Improvement</u>
 Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply
 Data Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support - Continuous Improvement Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment - Continuous Improvement Activities

Objective	Strategy	Activities to deploy strategy	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring Date & Notes	Funding
Objective 1:					
Objective 2:					